



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/626,386	07/22/2003	Gregory J. Erisoty	EGJ-1-CONT	9777	
7590 01/19/2005			EXAMINER		
Ira S. Dorman			PRONE, JASON D		
Suite 200 330 Roberts Stre	set.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
East Hartford, (		3724			
			DATE MAILED: 01/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	o	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/626,386	· ; .	ERISOTY ET AL.				
		Examiner	:	Art Unit				
		Jason Prone		3724				
The MAILING DATE of this	communication app	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period for Reply		,,,, oèt <u>"</u> o 5	VOIDE - MONTH!	0) 50014				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CO - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If the period for reply specified above is less - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe - Any reply received by the Office later than the - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFF	OMMUNICATION.  e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication.  than thirty (30) days, a reply maximum statutory period w riod for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, he within the statutory will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from n to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	/. mmunication.			
Status			: :	i ,				
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on 06 De	ecember 2004		:				
	a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in o	•			secution as to the	merits is			
closed in accordance with t								
Disposition of Claims								
	ng in the application	,						
	4) Claim(s) 12-32 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) 14,15,19 and 20 is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14,13,16-28,31 and 32</u> is/are rejected.								
8) Claim(s) are subject		election requi	rement.					
Application Papers			i .					
				:				
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			:					
randa <u>ar</u> and a caracteristic for the state of the caracteristic and the caracteristic								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the I		•			-			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			•					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) [	Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT</li> </ul>		5) <b>[</b>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da  Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO	-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:								

Art Unit: 3724

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "40" has been used to designate both the curved bearing surface in Figure 6 and what appears to be the front portion of the back member in Figures 1 and 3. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 6 lines 17-18 the phrase "pins 36" should be replaced with "pins 26".

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3724

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

On line 8 of claim 13, the phrase "a saw blade" is unclear. It is uncertain if this saw blade is the same as the previously disclosed saw blade on line 6 of claim 13.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 12, 13, 17-27, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duffy.

Duffy discloses the same invention including a saw frame (10) comprising an elongate back member (15 and 42), a handle portion (11), and an elongate swing arm pivotably connected to the back member at a connection point distal from the handle portion (40) so as to be pivotable between an extend position transverse to the back member (Fig. 9a) and a storage position aligned with the back member (Fig. 6), that the handle portion includes at least a first blade-engaging element (35), that the swing arm includes at least a second blade-engaging element disposed distal from the connection point (40a), that the back member includes at least a third blade-engaging element disposed proximal to the connection point (42a), that each of the blade-engaging elements being constructed to engage one end of a removably mounted saw blade

Art Unit: 3724

(Figs. 9a-f), that the first, second, and third blade-engaging elements are generally coplanar and located such that, with the swing arm in the extended position, the second and third blade engaging elements are commonly disposed on an arc circumscribing the first blade-engaging element (Figs. 1 and 4), that the handle portion includes a quickrelease blade tensioning mechanism operative to releasably apply, at the first blade engagement element, a selectable amount of tension to the mounted blade (26), that the frame is capable of allowing conversion without the need for adjustment of the amount of tension, between a first configuration and a second configuration (Figs. 9a and 9e), that the back member is substantially rectilinear (10), that the back member further includes an upwardly opening channel in which the swing arm is disposed in the storage position (36c), that the back member includes a downwardly extending lobe proximal to the connection point (42), that the third blade-engaging element is disposed on the lobe (42a), that the lobe includes a bearing surface that limits the pivotable movement of the swing arm (Fig. 1), with the swing arm in the extended position, a first theoretical line extending between the first and second blade engagement elements is disposed substanitially parallel to an axis extending in the direction of elongation of the back member (Fig. 9a), a second theoretical line extending between the first and third blade engagement elements is angularly disposed with respect to the axis extending in the direction of elongation (Fig. 9e), a saw blade (B), that when the swing arm is in the extended position the saw blade is mounted on the first and second blade-engaging elements (Fig. 9a), that the handle portion comprises a grip portion integrally formed with the back member (14), that the third blade-engaging element is disposed in a fixed

Art Unit: 3724

position on the back member (42a is clearly fixed onto back member portion 42), and that the lobe is fixedly disposed on the back member (42 is clearly fixedly disposed at 37).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duffy. Duffy discloses the invention including that each of the blade-engaging element comprise pins projecting from orienting blade-supporting surface (35, 42a, and 41a) but fails to disclose that the pin are conical. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to make the different portions of the pins of whatever form or shape was desired or expedient. A change in form or shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art, absent any showing of unexpected results. *In re Dailey et al.*, 149 USPQ 47.
- 9. Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duffy in view of Keymer. Duffy discloses the invention but fails to disclose that the quick-release blade-tensioning mechanism includes an operating lever pivotally connected to a lower part of the handle portion. Keymer teaches a quick-release blade-tensioning mechanism that includes an operating lever pivotally connected to a lower part of the handle portion (52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

Art Unit: 3724

art, at the time of the invention, to have provided Duffy with an operating lever, as taught by Keymer, to allow for the quick-release blade-tensioning mechanism to be locked into place to prevent any unwanted un-tensioning of the blade.

### Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 14, 15, 29 and 30 are allowed. Claims 14 and 29 is allowable over the prior art because of the apparatus incorporating a handle portion with first and fourth blade-engaging elements, a swing arm with second and fifth blade-engaging elements, and a back member including third and sixth blade-engaging elements. There is no motivation to modify the handle portion, swing arm, or back member of Duffy to incorporate an additional blade-engaging element.

## Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 06 December 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In Duffy, item 42 is considered part of the rectilinear back member 15. In Exhibit B (combination of submitted Exhibit A and Figure 4 from Duffy), on page 9 of this Office action, using "I" to determine the position of 42a at "X" and "roughly" transferring that position to "II", it clearly shows that the second (Y) and the third (X) blade engaging elements are commonly disposed on an arc circumscribing the first blade engaging element (35). In applicant's Figure 1, the arc does not travel through the first blade-engaging element let alone make contact with it while the arc appears to make contact with the third blade engaging-element. In view of the applicant's first and third blade-engaging elements being at different differences from the arc in Figure 1, Duffy's first and third blade-engaging elements are clearly disposed

Art Unit: 3724

on an arc circumscribing the first blade-engagement element. Also, the saw structure of Duffy is perfectly capable of allowing a conversion without the need of adjustment. Figure 9f is capable of incorporating a blade with a different length than the blade used in Figure 9a. If Figure 9f uses a blade with a different length than the conversion from 9a to 9f is capable of not needing a tension adjustment.

#### Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Prone whose telephone number is 571-272-4513. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, Mon - (every other) Fri.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 571-272-4514. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JΡ

January 14, 2005

Allan N. Shoap

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3700

Art Unit: 3724





